

Threat letter from the Legal Counsel

During the attack on my home, I contacted the national Legal Counsel ([Juridisch Loket](#)). In her response, they sent an email from a psychiatric patient who told a threatening paranoid story.

That must have been technically impossible and in principle cannot have been an accident. It is also virtually impossible that an ordinary employee of the Legal Counsel would do such a thing because you could lose your job or worse as a result.

You are sending an email from someone else in your message. I don't think that should just happen, because you are dealing with sensitive information from people.

I can of course think of corruption or sad motives from you as an individual to do so, and in that case it will not be an intelligent act. Just because there are people with mental health problems doesn't mean you should give rogue doctors a license to mess around in their brains. For you as an employee of the Legal Counsel it is important to know your place and to deliver quality, even if people behave incorrectly or have ideas that are incorrect. There should be no room for corruption.

Despite the potential for dubious action on your part, I hereby inform you that the error should not occur if it

were an accident.

Other than that, thanks for the advice! I hope that you will serve people with sincerity.

Attempted police intimidation

During the attack on my home, the local police tried to intimidate me, which seemed very unnatural.

The landlord became more and more disrespectful after the municipal police chief seemed to have taken him under protection and he went very far.

For example, the landlord threatened me that I would be kicked out of the house by the police boss with 'civil servant violence', which was nonsensical considering the whole situation.

The landlord committed an unprovoked violent trespass that injured both my arms. It was an absurd action because at the time there was an ongoing conflict with the intervention of a lawyer. The landlord achieved nothing with it.

The landlord walked into my room without prior communication or appointment while I was reading. I indicated that the landlord was not welcome and ordered him to leave the room. The landlord did not respond and tried to push through me. The landlord tried with all his strength to enter the room, shouting loudly *"I want to ask you something. I want*

to ask you something." During the removal of the landlord both my arms were injured, for which a report was made by a GP.

The Utrecht police did not take the report of violent trespassing serious and kept repeating (illogically) that I reported "poisoning" while I had never mentioned the word poisoning and clearly indicated in at least 10 contact moments both by telephone and email that I reported abuse.

The police report contained several quirks such as the statement that I was 'puzzling' in my room when the landlord violently entered my room while I had clearly communicated during the report that I was reading.

When I indicated to the police officer during the telephone report that I had a dependent accommodation (meaning without a private bathroom) she replied "*Oh, assisted living for psychiatric patients?*". The police officer also repeated the then repeatedly corrected mistake that I was reporting "poisoning" which she seemed to do on purpose.

The police appeared to attempt to intimidate me by treating me with unnatural disrespect.

Court illogically sided with landlord

The court illogically sided with the abusive landlord who destroyed all my personal belongings, inflicted multiple personal injuries on me, began a major renovation without an

appointment and illegally refused to provide replacement housing.

I was ordered to pay the landlord thousands of euros in legal fees and I lost my home. Even a relocation allowance according to the official standard was rejected.